UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/477,991	01/05/2000	BRYCE A. JONES	1264	1039
²⁸⁰⁰⁴ SPRIN T	7590 04/15/200	8	EXAMINER	
6391 SPRINT			BURGESS, BARBARA N	
KSOPHT0101-Z2100 OVERLAND PARK, KS 66251-2100			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2157	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/15/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/477,991	JONES, BRYCE A.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	BARBARA N. BURGESS	2157	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Description of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tind will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from te, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 € This action is FINAL . 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under	is action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pro		
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 165-185 is/are pending in the application Papers	awn from consideration.		
	or.		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	cepted or b) objected to by the I drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ction is required if the drawing(s) is object.	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applicationity documents have been received au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate	

Application/Control Number: 09/477,991 Page 2

Art Unit: 2157

DETAILED ACTION

This Office Action is in response to amendment filed January 15, 2008. Claims 166-185 are presented for further examination.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 166-185 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Greenberg et al. (hereinafter "Greenberg", 2001/0038624 A1) in view of Neumann et al. (hereinafter "Neumann", US Paten 6,744,761 B1).

As per claims 166 and 176, Greenberg discloses a method and communication system for routing a voice call, wherein the voice call originates from a user device including a cookie and wherein a call center has a plurality of call center resources, the method and communication system comprising:

 Receiving the voice call from the user device including the cookie (paragraphs [0076, 0083, 0125, 0127]).

Greenberg does not explicitly disclose:

 Processing the cookie from the user device to select one of the call center resources;

 Routing the voice call originating from the user device to the selected one of the call center resources.

However, the use and advantages of processing cookies to select a call center resource and routing the call to the call center resource is well-known to one of ordinary skill in the art as evidenced by Neumann (column 1, lines 13-31, column 2, lines 10-14, column 9, lines 24-31, 55-67, Abstract).

Therefore, one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made would have found it obvious to implement or incorporate Neumann's processing of cookies to select call center resources and routing to the call center resource in Greenberg's method in order that suitable resources may be able to adequately respond to incoming media stream.

As per claims 167 and 177, Greenberg discloses method and system of claims 166 and 176, wherein the voice call is comprises a Get document request in Hyper Text Transfer Protocol (paragraphs [0045, 0050]).

As per claims 168 and 178, Greenberg does not explicitly discloses the method and system of claims 166 and 176, wherein processing the cookie from the user device to select one of the call center resources is further based upon caller-entered information.

However, the use and advantages of processing cookies to select a call center resource and routing the call to the call center resource is well-known to one of ordinary skill in the art as evidenced by Neumann (column 1, lines 13-31, column 2, lines 10-14, column 9, lines 24-31, 55-67, Abstract).

Therefore, one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made would have found it obvious to implement or incorporate Neumann's processing of cookies to select call center resources and routing to the call center resource in Greenberg's method in order that suitable resources may be able to adequately respond to incoming media stream.

As per claims 169 and 179, Greenberg does not explicitly discloses the method and system of claims 166 and 176, wherein processing the cookie from the user device to select one of the call center resources is further based upon an Internet Protocol address.

However, the use and advantages of processing cookies to select a call center resource and routing the call to the call center resource is well-known to one of ordinary skill in the art as evidenced by Neumann (column 1, lines 13-31, column 2, lines 10-14, column 9, lines 24-31, 55-67, Abstract).

Therefore, one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made would have found it obvious to implement or incorporate Neumann's processing of cookies to select call center resources and routing to the call center resource in Greenberg's

method in order that suitable resources may be able to adequately respond to incoming media stream.

As per claims 170 and 180, Greenberg does not explicitly discloses the method and system of claims 166 and 156, wherein processing the cookie from the user device to select one of the call center resources is further based upon a domain name.

However, the use and advantages of processing cookies to select a call center resource and routing the call to the call center resource is well-known to one of ordinary skill in the art as evidenced by Neumann (column 1, lines 13-31, column 2, lines 10-14, column 9, lines 24-31, 55-67, Abstract).

Therefore, one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made would have found it obvious to implement or incorporate Neumann's processing of cookies to select call center resources and routing to the call center resource in Greenberg's method in order that suitable resources may be able to adequately respond to incoming media stream.

As per claims 171 and 181, Greenberg does not explicitly discloses the method and system of claims 146 and 176, wherein processing the cookie from the user device to select one of the call center resources is further based upon one or more of a day or a time of day.

However, the use and advantages of processing cookies to select a call center resource and routing the call to the call center resource is well-known to one of ordinary skill in

Art Unit: 2157

the art as evidenced by Neumann (column 1, lines 13-31, column 2, lines 10-14, column 9, lines 24-31, 55-67, Abstract).

Therefore, one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made would have found it obvious to implement or incorporate Neumann's processing of cookies to select call center resources and routing to the call center resource in Greenberg's method in order that suitable resources may be able to adequately respond to incoming media stream.

As per claims 172 and 182, Greenberg does not explicitly discloses the method and system of claims 166 and 176, wherein processing the cookie from the user device to select one of the call center resources is further based on the least busy agent.

However, the use and advantages of processing cookies to select a call center resource and routing the call to the call center resource is well-known to one of ordinary skill in the art as evidenced by Neumann (column 1, lines 13-31, column 2, lines 10-14, column 9, lines 24-31, 55-67, Abstract).

Therefore, one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made would have found it obvious to implement or incorporate Neumann's processing of cookies to select call center resources and routing to the call center resource in Greenberg's method in order that suitable resources may be able to adequately respond to incoming media stream.

Page 7

As per claims 173 and 183, Greenberg does not explicitly discloses the method and system of claims 166 and 176, wherein processing the cookie from the user device to select one of the call center resources is further based on the least congested route. However, the use and advantages of processing cookies to select a call center resource and routing the call to the call center resource is well-known to one of ordinary skill in the art as evidenced by Neumann (column 1, lines 13-31, column 2, lines 10-14, column 9, lines 24-31, 55-67, Abstract).

Therefore, one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made would have found it obvious to implement or incorporate Neumann's processing of cookies to select call center resources and routing to the call center resource in Greenberg's method in order that suitable resources may be able to adequately respond to incoming media stream.

As per claims 174 and 184, Greenberg does not explicitly discloses the method and system of claims 166 and 176, wherein processing the cookie from the user device to select one of the call center resources is further based on one or more a class of service or a quality of service.

However, the use and advantages of processing cookies to select a call center resource and routing the call to the call center resource is well-known to one of ordinary skill in the art as evidenced by Neumann (column 1, lines 13-31, column 2, lines 10-14, column 9, lines 24-31, 55-67, Abstract).

Application/Control Number: 09/477,991 Page 8

Art Unit: 2157

Therefore, one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made would have found it obvious to implement or incorporate Neumann's processing of cookies to select call center resources and routing to the call center resource in Greenberg's method in order that suitable resources may be able to adequately respond to incoming media stream.

As per claims 175 and 185, Greenberg does not explicitly discloses the method and system of claims 166 and 176, further comprising selecting a web service application based upon the cookie.

However, the use and advantages of processing cookies to select a call center resource and routing the call to the call center resource is well-known to one of ordinary skill in the art as evidenced by Neumann (column 1, lines 13-31, column 2, lines 10-14, column 9, lines 24-31, 55-67, Abstract).

Therefore, one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made would have found it obvious to implement or incorporate Neumann's processing of cookies to select call center resources and routing to the call center resource in Greenberg's method in order that suitable resources may be able to adequately respond to incoming media stream.

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Art Unit: 2157

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to BARBARA N. BURGESS whose telephone number is (571)272-3996. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (8:00am-4:00pm).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ario Etienne can be reached on (571) 272-4001. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (571) 273-8300 for regular communications and (571) 273-8300 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

/Barbara N Burgess/ Examiner, Art Unit 2157

April 10, 2008

Barbara N Burgess Examiner Art Unit 2157

/Ario Etienne/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2157